



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/074,951	C	2/11/2002	Ronald A. Fein	MS-1-091USC2	8826
22801	7590	11/03/2005		EXAMINER	
LEE & HA		•		KINDRED, ALFORD W	
SPOKANE,		VENUE SUITE 500 01		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·				2163	

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/074,951	FEIN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alford W. Kindred	2163
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after StX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a)). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 S</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under B</li> </ul>	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) 7-12 is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-6 and 13-20 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the E drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Application trity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		(DTO 442)
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	

Application/Control Number: 10/074,951 Page 2

Art Unit: 2163

#### **Detailed Action**

1. This action is responsive to communications: RCE, filed on 09/12/05.

## Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 7-12 are allowed.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-6 and 13-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bornstein et al., US# 5,867,164, in view of Tsourikov et al., US# 20010014852.

With respect to independent claim 1, Bornstein et al. "constructing a sentence-based summary" ("a computer system for displaying a summary of a document comprising (1) a document containing one or more separate sentences . . ."-column 3, lines 31-40). Bornstein does not explicitly teach "using a phase summarizing process . . inserting the sentence-based summary in to the file such that the sentence-based summary is before an opening paragraph . . .". Tsourikov et al. teaches "using a phase summarizing process . . . inserting the sentence-based summary in to the file such that the sentence-based summary is before an opening paragraph . . ." (see paragraph [abstract], [0013], and [0046]). It would have been obvious at the time of the invention

for one of ordinary skill in the art to have combined the teachings of Bornstein and Tsourikov, because using the steps of "using a phase summarizing process . . . inserting the sentence-based summary in to the file such that the sentence-based summary is before an opening paragraph . . .", would have given those skilled in the art the tools to include an abstract of a document's teachings in a user friendly place of a document. This gives users the advantage of inspecting large document more efficiently.

With respect to dependent claim 2, Bornstein et al. discloses "a word Processing application stored in a storage medium which directs a computer to perform the step in the computer-implemented method" (The present invention, including the software and electronics which allow it to be performed . . . numeral 16 indicates a memory device which stores programs according to which the CPU . . ."-column 3, lines 51-64).

With respect to dependent claim 3, Bornstein et al. discloses "an electronic mail application stored in a storage medium which directs a computer to perform the steps in the computer-implemented method" (the present invention is equally useful within an electronic mail context where the user can view a summary of the electronic mail received . . ."-column 8, lines 16-20).

With respect to dependent claim 4, Bornstein et al. discloses "an Internet web browser application stored in a storage medium which directs a computer to perform the steps in the computer-implemented method" (the present invention is within the user interface of a modern computer system . . . across a network or even across the

Application/Control Number: 10/074,951 Page 4

Art Unit: 2163

Internet . . . "-column 8, lines 21-29).

With respect to dependent claim 5, Bornstein et al. discloses "a computer programmed to perform the step in the computer implemented method" ("the interactive document summarization program according the present invention, for example, is generally also stored in memory . . ."-column 3, lines 62-65).

With respect to dependent claim 6, Bornstein et al. disclose "a document file formed in memory as a result of the computer-implement method" ("numeral 16 indicates a memory device which stores programs . . . "-column 3, lines 59-62).

As per claims 13-18 and 20, these claims are rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claims 1-6 and 19 and are similarly rejected.

Application/Control Number: 10/074,951 Page 5

Art Unit: 2163

#### Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alford W. Kindred whose telephone number is 571-272-4037. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9:00 am- 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on (571) 272-4023. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center, (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alford W. Kindred Patent Examiner Tech Ctr. 2100